

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/025,663	12/19/2001	Steven A. Blankenship	P-1106	6529	
75	90 04/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
Scott R. Cox			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
Suite 2200 400 West Market St.			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Louisville, KY 40202			1754		
			DATE MAN CID- 04/20/2004	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		10				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/025,663	BLANKENSHIP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stuart Hendrickson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreniod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuarv 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11,13,14 and 22-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5, 11, 13, 14, 22-27</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 11, 13, 14, 22-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensions Summers	(PTO.413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	/					

Application/Control Number: 10/025,663

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5, 11, 13, 14, 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. 5587348 taken with Wood et al.

This is the same rejection made in the previous action, incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 1-5, 11, 13, 14, 22-24, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. 4484015 taken with Wood et al.

This is the same rejection made in the previous action, incorporated herein by reference.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over each of Brown et al. and Johnson et al. separately.

This is the same rejection made in the previous action, incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 1-5, 11, 13, 14, 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention.

This is the same rejection made in the previous action, incorporated herein by reference.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments fail to recognize that the references do indeed teach reduction of the catalyst, and need not teach the claimed invention as a preferred embodiment. The argument of additional cost of present process steps is not persuasive, in view of the added value of them, which can be recouped. As Johnson teaches prereduction before use, it is not accepted that this 'teaches away' from the claimed invention. A logical reason has been advanced for combining the references, and the combination may be based upon what one of ordinary skill could reasonably expect to know- see In re Rouffet 47 USPQ 2d 1453. Concerning the on-sale arguments, a Declaration is awaited. Note that claim 14 does in fact recite the catalyst per se.

Art Unit: 1754

Arguments on pg. 11 are not accepted as they do not clearly state that the 'presently claimed process including the sale was not performed more than 1 year prior to the effective filing date of the present application'. The phrase used 'never produced ... catalysts produced ...' is awkward and unclear. See MPEP 2133.03 (c)

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754